

Annex B: Table of Modifications.

Proposed modification number (PM)	Page no./ other reference	Modification	Officer recommendation
PM1	Objective L01 page 5	Replace "aspects" by "character".	Agree. Improves clarity by using a more specific term, more commonly used in planning. Does not affect community intent
PM2	Paragraph 8.4 page 50 Paragraph 8.8 page 52 Paragraph 8.7 page 53	Change "settlement boundary" to "development boundary".	Agree. Improves clarity by using the same terminology as the Cotswold Local Plan. Does not affect community intent.
PM3	Policy LP1 page 22	In the second clause after "applicants" delete "may have to" and insert "must".	Agree. Improves clarity by being more definite about the expectation the policy puts upon applicants.
PM4	Policy HP1 page 55	Delete Policy HP1.	Agree. This policy generated a number of representations, including from CDC. The examiner has determined in does not have regard to the NPPF, but has also observed that the design policies of the Local Plan and the Neighbourhood Plan will help to ensure well-designed development.
PM5	Policy HP2 page 55	Delete "for those with a local connection".	Agree. As drafted the policy was not in general conformity with the Local Plan, so this change was necessary. The Council's choice-based lettings

			approach plays an important role in helping people find accommodation in settlements that suit their needs, including location.
PM6	Policy HP3 page 57	Delete clause 3.	Agree. Unclear how the clause would be effective, but it should be noted that the NPPF seeks to prevent the diminution of the quality of approved development between permission and completion, so the community intent should be met.
PM7	Policy HP4 page 59	<p>Insert new clause after first clause: “Where appropriate, provision will be made to support the Cotswold Water Park Nature Recovery Plan”.</p> <p>In the second clause: delete “permitted” and insert “supported”.</p> <p>In the third clause: insert at the beginning of the sentence “Where feasible”.</p>	Agree. Provides useful local context with a new clause, and enhances the usability of the policy with some rewording. Does not significantly impact on the community intent behind the policy.

The Examiner has not identified any typographical errors in the text of the DANDP that would affect the Basic Conditions. Minor amendments to the text can be made consequential to the recommended modifications, alongside any other minor non-material changes or updates, in agreement between DAPC and CDC (PPG Reference ID: 41-106-20190509).