## PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE 11th October 2023 ADDITIONAL PAGES (Published 06.10.2023)

## AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985

ADDITIONAL REPRESENTATIONS ON AGENDA ITEMS : Page I - 2		
Agenda No:	Ref No:	Content:
8	23/01045/FUL	CASE OFFICER UPDATE (1)
	(Land Parcel West Of 4 Parkers Lane Moreton-In-Marsh)	Upon reviewing the Case Officer's Report and suggested conditions prior to the Site Inspection Briefing, it was noted that, whist various specific elements of the Noise Management Plan had been individually conditioned (e.g. hours of use), the condition referenced in the body of the report for adherence to the Noise Management Plan as a whole had been inadvertently omitted. An additional condition is therefore suggested, with the following wording:
		<b>Condition 12:</b> The site shall be operated at all times in strict and full accordance with the monitoring, reporting, and site usage procedures detailed in the Noise Management Plan received on 22 <sup>nd</sup> August 2023, unless otherwise first agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
		<b>Reason:</b> To protect the amenity of the locality, especially for people living and/or working nearby, in accordance with Cotswold District Local Plan Policies EN2 and EN15.'
		CASE OFFICER UPDATE (2)
		During the Site Inspection Briefing, the value and potential need for protection of two mature trees located towards the centre of the application site was questioned by Members. Photographs were taken and forwarded to the Tree Officer, who reviewed the proposal and provided the following comments:
		"The proposal notes that the mature trees on the site are to be retained but are currently not protected. Having regard to the proposed use and the stated intention to retain the trees for shade and as features I do not consider that the granting of planning permission gives rise to a threat to the trees so I would not recommend a TPO being made at this time."
		Cont/

The Tree Officer confirmed that the landscaping condition already suggested covers tree retention and should be sufficient, and further stated that if a threat emerges in the future the District Council could still make a TPO to protect the trees if it were considered necessary.

## **CASE OFFICER UPDATE (3)**

It is also noted that three further comments of support for the proposal have been received, along with two further objections. No new issues are raised in any of the additional third party comments.