

Results from Siddington's Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) Questionnaire (excluding those solely relating to planning issues)

Background

Part of the process of preparing a Neighbourhood Plan for Siddington involves the circulation of a questionnaire to all households in the parish seeking their views on the shape of future development in the village. The questionnaire also provided the opportunity to ascertain residents' opinions on the benefits of living in Siddington and on those aspects of the village which they dislike and could benefit from improvement. This report covers these latter opinions. Parishioners' views on planning issues will be published as part of the draft NDP.

Questionnaire response rate and demographics of respondents

- 125 questionnaires were returned by households which together constituted 176 people. There were 488 households in the parish in the 2021 census. Making assumptions for housing completions since then (mainly 88 houses in Orchard Field), it is estimated that Siddington comprises approximately 580 households. 125 returned questionnaires therefore represents a response rate of approximately 21.5%.

- **The age breakdown was:**
 - 0-18 19 people
 - 19-40 29 people
 - 41-59 46 people
 - 60+ 82 people

- **The employment status was:**
 - Employed 74
 - Retired 68
 - Other categories 23
 - Non-declared 11

- **Employment location:**
 - Home working 22
 - Siddington 2
 - Cirencester 36
 - Swindon 4
 - Cheltenham/Gloucester 4
 - Elsewhere Glos/Wilts 16
 - Further away 22

- **Regular means of transport for work or study:**
 - Motor vehicle 69
 - Bus 19
 - Train 9
 - Bike 9
 - Walk 22
 - Car share 2

- **Most important aspects of living in Siddington (chosen from a list on the questionnaire)**
 - Peaceful and safe neighbourhood (95% Very Important and 5% Important)
 - Surrounding countryside (91% Very Important and 9% Important)
 - Community Spirit (55% Very Important , 42% Important, 3% Other)
 - Local shop (56% Very Important, 39% Important, 5% Other)
 - Good public transport (50% Very Important, 41% Important, 9% Other)
 - Right housing available (27% Very Important, 49% Important, 24% Other)
 - Facilities for leisure and sport (23% Very Important, 56% Important, 21% Other)
 - Local employment opportunities (22% Very Important, 42% Important, 36% Other)
 - Lots of things going on (12% Very Important, 53% Important, 35% Other)

- **When residents were asked which top 3 things they like most about living in Siddington parish, their replies can be summarised as follows:**
 - It's a nice rural location with green spaces, adjoining great countryside which is accessible by good footpaths. (89 replies)
 - Well located by having access to the countryside but within easy reach of a large thriving town (Cirencester) and with good road and rail links to other parts of the country. (66 replies)
 - Peaceful and quiet. (46 replies)
 - Good community spirit. (46 replies)
 - Has a true village feel and is situated within defined boundaries. (27 replies)

- **When respondents were asked which top 3 things they most dislike about living in Siddington parish, their replies can be summarised as follows:**
 - Speeding traffic. (47 replies)
 - Potholes and poor maintenance of roads and pavements. (40 replies)
 - Volume of traffic through village with it being used as a "rat run" and the number of heavy goods vehicles ignoring restrictions. (31 replies)

- Urbanisation of village with too many houses being built and a reduction in green spaces (e.g. solar farm). The merging of Siddington with Cirencester and the village getting too big. (28 replies)
- Dangerous and inconsiderate parking and obstructions causing traffic flow problems and footpath problems. (22 replies)
- **Importance of facilities in the parish (list provided on the questionnaire).**
 - Walks and rural paths (81% Very Important, 17% Important, 2% Other)
 - Post Office (70% Very Important, 28% Important, 2% Other)
 - Shop (64% Very Important, 33% Important, 3% Other)
 - Pub (53% Very Important, 40% Important, 7% Other)
 - Village Hall (44% Very Important, 47% Important, 9% Other)
 - Playground (40% Very Important, 41% Important, 19% Other)
 - School (41% Very Important, 37% Important, 22% Other)
 - Church (20% Very Important, 52% Important, 28% Other)
 - Sports Facilities (16% Very Important, 54% Important, 30% Other)
 - Allotments (24% Very Important, 38% Important, 38% Other)
- **What additional facilities are needed?**
 - **Footpaths/cycleways.** Additional connected footpaths and cycle ways out into the countryside, into Cirencester, up to Somerford Road and along South Cerney Road. (17 replies)
 - **Improvements to the Playing Field.** Updated equipment/trim trail/ more equipment for toddlers and teens/all weather court/tennis court/ new sports pavilion. (14 replies)
 - **A coffee shop/community hub/social club** (like South Cerney example). (13 replies)
 - **More dedicated parking and a reduction in on-street parking.** Particular areas singled out at shop, playing fields and church. (9 replies)
 - **Improved public transport** (to smaller villages and Kemble station) and better taxi availability. (7 replies)
 - **Traffic calming/speed cameras/20mph limit.** (6 replies)
 - **Facilities and opportunities for young people** at playing field and indoors (e.g. youth club in village hall). (6 replies)
 - Improvements to the shop. (4 replies)
- **What could be done to improve existing facilities?**
 - **Better maintenance of roads and footpaths.** Includes fixing potholes, resurfacing Ashton and Siddington Road, cutting back on hedges adjacent to roads and paths. Open new footpaths/cycle tracks (old railway line from Siddington to South Cerney, from Orchard Field to

Steadings roundabout, safer footpath between Siddington to Cirencester next to Siddington Road. Improve canal path. Better footpath signage. (27 replies)

- **Improving appearance of shop and frontage.** Need for better quality food and service. (9 replies). Improve parking at shop and limit parking on Ashton Road between shop and South Cerney Road. (4 replies)
 - **Need for traffic calming/speed humps to reduce speed of traffic through village.** 20mph speed limit in built-up area of village. 30mph limit to be continuous from Siddington to Cirencester on the Siddington Road. More enforcement of speed limit on Siddington and Ashton Roads. (6 replies)
 - **Playing field.** Clubhouse at playing field requires improvement/replacement. Make greater use of it as community facility. Install public toilet for those using playing field/children's playground (1 reply). Additional and up to date playground equipment for younger children. (3 replies) Install trim trail/outdoor exercise area (2 replies). Install tennis court and skate park (1 reply)
 - **Restrict Quiet Lane to bikes and pedestrians.** (4 replies)
 - **Parking.** Make better use of land next to Village Hall for car parking. (2 replies). Better drop-off parking at school (1 reply). Provide additional church parking and provide safe crossing point and dropped kerb for those walking to church or going by disability scooter. (2 replies)
 - **More areas for exercising dogs.** Enclose park to allow dogs off the lead to exercise safely. (2 replies)
 - **More events organised for retired people, mothers & toddlers, youth and children.** (2 replies)
 - **Transport.** Better public transport links to station at Kemble. (3 replies). Bus service to and from Cirencester to run later in the evening. (1 reply)
 - **Remove football club and replace with cricket.** (3 replies)
 - **Better maintenance of public areas.** Local housing authorities (Bromford) to encourage/facilitate tidiness around properties. (3 replies)
 - **Manage allotments better.** Tidy up. Provide water. (3 replies)
 - **Move bust stop away from shop to next to allotments.** (1 reply)
- **Which buildings or places in Siddington are most important to you?**
 - **Church.** (56 replies)
 - **Village Hall.** (47 replies)
 - **Pub.** (36 replies)
 - **Canal/canal locks/canal walks/fields either side of canal.** (35 replies)
 - **Older houses/historic buildings.** In particular: Tithe Barn & Old Talland (17 replies); Plummers Farm and adjoining paddocks (3 replies); Frazier's

Folly (2 replies); Siddington Manor (3 replies); Barton Farm (2 replies); Roberts House (3 replies); Church Farmhouse (1 reply); The Old Rectory (2 replies); Mill House(1 reply); older buildings (general) (5 replies).

- **Shop/Post Office.** (25 replies)
 - **Sports field and playground.** (23 replies)
 - **Surrounding countryside and footpaths.** (16 replies)
 - **Allotments.** (5 replies)
 - **Quiet Lane.** (4 replies)
 - **River Churn and adjoining fields.** (2 replies)
 - **The old railway line (Siddington to South Cerney).** (2 replies)
 - **Fields between Spire View and Siddington.** (1 reply)
-
- **Which views in and around Siddington are most important to you?**
 - **Church/church spire as seen from all angles.** Includes Tithe Barn. (38 replies)
 - **Canal paths and views across fields from paths.** Includes Siddington to South Cerney, flight of locks in Siddington, canal path from Siddington to Cirencester and canal bridge in Siddington. (30 replies)
 - **Open fields in and around Siddington** (all of the green belt). (21 replies)
 - **River Churn and surrounding water meadows.** (10 replies)
 - **Playing Field and surrounding trees.** (9 replies)
 - **Siddington Manor and fields from South Cerney road.** (8 replies)
 - **Views from Spire View towards Siddington.** (5 replies)
 - **All green fields adjacent to the entrances to the village (Ashton Road, Siddington Road, Parkway).** Horse fields at entrances to both ends of village mentioned specifically. (9 replies)
 - **Plummers Farm area, livery stables and adjoining horse fields as seen from The Greyhound.** (4 replies)
 - **Allotments.** (4 replies)
 - **Fields viewed from old railway line.** (3 replies)
 - **Fields behind Parkway towards Cirencester.** (3 replies)
 - **Field views from the Coach Road .** (3 replies)
 - **Views from Orchard Field towards Parkway, Upper Siddington and Cirencester.** (3 replies)
 - **Views from Upper Siddington towards the Ashton Road.** (3 replies)
 - **Round Tower and surrounding fields.** (2 replies)
 - **Fields around Nursery View.** (2 replies)

 - **Which green spaces in or around the parish are the most important to you?**
 - **Playing Field.** (52 replies)

- **Canal path, canal locks area, and all fields adjoining canal path.** (51 replies)
 - **All green spaces and footpaths in the village and surrounding areas.** (39 replies)
 - **All the fields between Siddington and Cirencester.** (19 replies)
 - **Quiet Lane.** (11 replies)
 - **Allotments.** (11 replies)
 - **Churn water meadows.** (10 replies)
 - **Old railway line and adjoining fields.** (8 replies)
 - **Churchyard and meadows adjacent to the church.** (6 replies)
 - **The Common.** (4 replies)
 - **Fields on either side of Canal Road in Upper Siddington.** (4 replies)
 - **Fields either side of Parkway from Spratsgate Lane to Upper Siddington.** (3 replies)
 - **Unbuilt land on either side of Severells Drive.** (3 replies)
 - **Fields either side of Coach Road.** (3 replies)
 - **Horse field opposite The Greyhound.** (1 reply)
 - **Fields behind Parkway.** (1 reply)
 - **Fields on either side of Ashton Road between Siddington and South Cerney.** (1 reply)
- **Is it important to maintain the undeveloped green gap between Cirencester and Siddington?**
 - **Yes** **92%**
 - **No** **3%**
 - **No opinion** **5%**
- **Are you concerned about parking issues?**
 - **Yes** **75%**
 - **No** **25%**
- **Which areas are causing parking concerns?**
 - **Ashton Road between the shop and South Cerney Road.** (41 replies)
 - **At the junction of Parkway and Ashton Road and at the shop.** (37 replies)
 - **Along Parkway. In particular next to the playing field and Pound Close on match days and at school start and end. Also a comment that residents don't appear to use car park provided.** (24 replies)
 - **Parking on pavements highlighted as a particular problem for people with pushchairs, mobility scooters and with guide dogs. Particular mention made of Nursery View.** (7 replies)

- **Coach Road at start and end of school day.** (6 replies)
 - **On Ashton Road by Village Hall.** (5 replies)
 - **All areas. Just not enough off-street parking and roads are too narrow.** (2 replies)
- **Are you concerned about other traffic issues?**
 - **Yes 91%**
 - **No 9%**
- **Which traffic issues are causing concern?**
 - **Speeding. Ashton Road and Siddington Roads, Spratsgate Lane, Parkway from Spratsgate Lane to Upper Siddington.** (57 replies)
 - **Village roads already being used as “rat runs”, but with concerns that this will get worse with the completion of the Steadings development. Being increasingly used by heavy goods to get to Love Lane Industrial Estate.** (17 replies)
 - **Need for 30mph speed limit all the way from Cirencester to Siddington on the Siddington Road.** (12 replies)
 - **Unrepaired pot holes and poor road maintenance in general.** (12 replies)
 - **Increasing number of heavy goods vehicles ignoring weight limit restrictions.** (11 replies)
 - **Too many houses being built in the area (Orchard View and Steadings quoted) and narrow village and rural roads can’t cope.** (7 replies)
 - **Hedges and trees need cutting back on South Cerney Road as it has become dangerously narrow with speeding traffic.** (5 replies)
 - **Consider 20mph speed limit in built up area of village.** (4 replies)
 - **Increase in diverted traffic through village resulting from construction work and road repairs.** (3 replies)
 - **Pavements have become too narrow in places (through overgrown hedges, encroaching undergrowth and parking on pavements) putting pedestrians into close proximity with speeding traffic.** (3 replies)
 - **Need traffic calming measures.** (2 replies)
 - **Speed limit start needs moving to top of Parkway where it joins Spratsgate Lane.** (1 reply)
 - **Lack of street lighting along Siddington Road.** (1 reply)
 - **Danger to pedestrians crossing Siddington Road opposite Old Talland.** (1 reply)

- **What parking or traffic improvements would you like to see?**
 - **Reducing speed limits.** As priority: 73%. Nice to have: 14%. Not needed: 12%.
 - **20mph speed limit in built-up areas.** As priority: 66%. Nice to have: 17%. Not needed: 17%.
 - **Traffic calming measures.** As priority: 58%. Nice to have: 24%. Not needed: 21%.
 - **Yellow lines.** As priority: 32%. Nice to have: 24%. Not needed: 43%.
 - **Off street parking.** As priority: 28%. Nice to have: 53%. Not needed: 19%.
 - **New car parks:** As priority: 14%. Nice to have; 44%. Not needed: 43%.
 - **Electric charging points:** As priority: 12%. Nice to have: 25%. Not needed: 43%.

- **Free text responses to parking and traffic improvements.**
 - **Improve road maintenance – pot hole repairs and resurfacing.** (6 replies)
 - **Yellow lines along Ashton Road from shop to South Cerney Road.** (3 replies)
 - **Radar speed signs/speed cameras.** (2 replies)
 - **Dropped kerbs opposite each other.** (2 replies)
 - **No parking on Coach Road.** (1 reply)
 - **Event car parking for playing fields.** (1 reply)
 - **Proper enforcement of 7.5 ton limit by way of fines.** (1 reply)

- **Do you walk into Cirencester?**
 - **Yes** 75%
 - **No** 25%

- **Would you walk into Cirencester if pedestrian facilities were improved?**
 - **Yes** 90%
 - **No** 10%

- **What changes for pedestrians/cyclists would you like to see?**
 - **Wider pavements to allow greater separation between speeding traffic and pedestrians. Many footpaths in village and between Siddington and Cirencester are overgrown both by hedges and undergrowth. Particularly bad paths are identified on the Siddington Road between Church Avenue and Cherry Tree Drive, between the Greyhound and Nursery View and for cyclists along South Cerney Road.** (33 replies)

- **Paths need better maintenance to make them level and smooth. Respondents highlighted need for better surfaces on canal path from Parkway/Pound Close to Love Lane and for upgrading canal path to South Cerney to make more accessible for walking and cycling. Also highlighted the need to finish improvements to the bottom of the path between the school and Pound Close which is currently dangerous for mobility scooters. (11 replies)**
- **Siddington Road between South Cerney Road and Cherry Tree Drive. Need for:**
 - **A new cycle track parallelling the road or at least a marked cycle lane into Cirencester (11 replies)**
 - **safe crossing points at Church Avenue and opposite Old Talland where path continues on other side of the road (9 replies).**
 - **Pot holes to be filled more regularly to make cycling safer (8 replies)**
 - **street lighting on this section of road (7 replies).**
 - **a 30mph speed limit along this stretch (6 replies).**
 - **road to be resurfaced to make it safer for cyclists (1 reply).**
- **Proposals for new footpaths/cycleways:**
 - **A safer, continuous walking route parallelling the Siddington Road but separated from the traffic and remaining on the same side of the road. (12 replies)**
 - **From Severalls Field to Love Lane/Somerford Road. Path should be completed “as promised”. (9 replies)**
 - **Along South Cerney Road to join up with footpath to Dobbies and the cycle track to Tesco at Preston Toll Bar. (5 replies)**
 - **Re-open old railway line as cycle track from The Greyhound to South Cerney. (3 replies)**
 - **Open Chesterton public rights of way to link with Kemble and surrounding areas. (1 reply)**
- **Make subway at Fire Station roundabout cleaner and safer. Described as being scruffy and intimidating. (4 replies)**
- **Lighting on canal path from Pound Close to Love Lane. (1 reply)**
- **More local footpath information – routes, location and points of interest. (1 reply)**
- **Flooding. Has your house been affected by any of the following?**
 - **Flooding of your building. Yes: 10% No: 90%.**
 - **Flooding of your garden. Yes: 19% No: 81%**

- **Flooding of your drains and sewers:** Yes: 19% No: 81%
- **Flooding of the public road by your property:** Yes: 35% No: 65%

- **How concerned are you about flood risk in your area?**
 - **Very:** 23%
 - **Moderately** 49%
 - **Not at all** 25%
 - **No opinion:** 3%

- **Which of the following flood measures would you like to see?**
 - **Better use and maintenance of field drainage ditches.** (96 replies)
 - **Effective use of flood meadows.** (76 replies)
 - **Monitoring and managing river level in River Churn.** (74 replies)
 - **Embankments along the river.** (38 replies)

- **What other flood risk improvements would you like to see?**
 - **Improved drainage on roads at design stage, but also keeping road drains cleared on a regular basis as well as road ditches. Parkway at junction with The Twenties cited as example of poor drain clearance.** (15 replies)
 - **Keeping River Churn free from excessive weed, tree growth and debris. Managing silt levels.** (9 replies)
 - **Stop building new houses as current sewage system cannot cope. Sewage flooding up in Cherry Tree Drive cited as example of effects of new development at Spire View.** (9 replies)
 - **All new developments should incorporate rainwater collection and permeable surfaces for parking, patio etc. No rainwater run-off should be allowed to flow into main drains.** (6 replies)
 - **Need for a flood action group with flood watch and notification system. Need for a flood plain management scheme clarifying who does what when flooding occurs.** ((3 replies)
 - **Demand greater accountability from developers to ensure that their developments do not cause flooding in areas adjacent to their developments. Flooding at Tesco roundabout cited as exacerbated by development at Kings Hill.** (1 reply)

- **How important to you are the following for the Parish of Siddington?**
 - **Increase walking and cycling.** Very Imp: 65% Imp: 30% Not Imp: 3%
No opinion: 2%

- **Improved public transport. Very Imp: 44% Imp: 45% Not Imp: 4% No opinion: 7%**
 - **Better insulation of existing homes. Very Imp: 49% Imp: 36% Not Imp: 5% No opinion: 10%**
 - **Greater recycling of waste. Very Imp: 43% Imp: 44% Not Imp: 4% No opinion: 9%**
 - **Reduction in home energy use. Very Imp: 39% Imp: 43% Not Imp: 6% No opinion: 12%**
 - **Conversion of street lighting to LED. Very Imp: 38% Imp: 46% Not Imp: 8% No opinion: 8%**
 - **Home renewable energy generation: Very Imp: 39% Imp: 37% Not Imp: 8% No opinion: 16%**
 - **Install electric vehicle charging points. Very Imp: 17% Imp: 34% Not Imp: 32% No opinion: 17%**
- **Which types of new homes are required in the Parish?**
 - 85 people responded to this question and respondents were allowed to select more than one category.
 - **Affordable homes for sale or rent. 78% voted for this.**
 - **Private semi-detached/terraced (2 or 3 bedrooms). 41% voted for this.**
 - **Bungalows. 32% voted for this.**
 - **Sheltered accommodation for elderly people. 31% voted for this.**
 - **Private detached houses (3 or more bedrooms). 24% voted for this.**
- **What scale of housing development schemes should be given priority?**
 - 118 people responded to this question and respondents were only permitted to select one category.
 - **Less than 5 dwellings. 42%**
 - **5-10 dwellings. 28%**
 - **11-25 dwellings. 12%**
 - **25-50 dwellings. 8%**
 - **Schemes of over 50 dwellings. 3%**
 - **No opinion. 7%**
- **If new homes are built, which locations would you prefer?**
 - 108 people responded to this question and respondents were allowed to select more than one category.
 - **Redevelopment of existing sites. 72%**
 - **Infill sites within the built form of the village. 46%**
 - **No opinion. 13%**

- **Greenfield sites immediately adjoining the built form of the village.**
11%
 - **Greenfield sites outside the built form of the village.** 9%
- **Which types of employment do you think are important for the future of the Parish?**
 - 121 people responded to this question and respondents were allowed to select more than one category.
 - **Agriculture.** Very Imp: 58 replies Imp: 31 replies Not Imp: 6 replies
No opinion: 20 replies
 - **Other rural based employment.** Very Imp: 51 replies Imp: 41 replies
Not Imp: 5 replies No opinion: 20 replies
 - **People working from home.** Very Imp: 30 replies Imp: 37 replies Not
Imp: 22 replies No opinion: 26 replies
 - **Tourism.** Very Imp: 8 replies Imp: 24 replies Not Imp: 44 replies
No opinion: 30 replies
 - **Retail/service companies.** Very Imp: 10 replies Imp: 23 replies Not
Imp: 50 replies No opinion: 28 replies
- **How important is it to you that the Neighbourhood Development Plan encourages commercial development for the following:**
 - 117 people responded to this question and respondents were allowed to select more than one category.
 - **Shared workspaces for home workers.** Very Imp: 12 replies Imp: 33
replies Not Imp: 51 replies No opinion: 19 replies
 - **Identifying employment sites.** Very Imp: 6 replies Imp: 40 replies
 - **Office spaces.** Imp: 15 replies Not Imp: 79 replies No opinion: 17
replies
 - **Industrial Units.** Very Imp: 1 reply Important: 12 replies Not important:
86 replies No opinion: 13 replies
- **How important are the following to the Parish of Siddington?**
 - 122 people responded to this question and respondents were allowed to select more than one category.
 - **Increase Walking & Cycling.** Very Imp: 76 replies Imp: 35 replies
Not Important: 1 No opinion: 4
 - **Better insulation of existing homes.** Very Imp: 58 replies Important: 43
replies Not Imp: 6 No opinion: 10 replies
 - **Improved public transport:** Very Imp: 52 replies Imp: 52 replies
Not Important: 5 No opinion: 7 replies

- **Greater recycling of waste.** Very Imp: 51 replies Important: 52 replies Not Imp: 5 replies No opinion: 10 replies
- **Reduction in home energy usage.** Very Imp: 46 replies Important: 51 replies Not Imp: 7 replies No opinion: 11 replies
- **Conversion to LED street lighting.** Very Imp: 45 replies Important: 54 replies Not imp: 9 replies No opinion: 8 replies
- **Home renewable energy generation.** Very Imp: 45 Important: 43 replies Not Important: 9 No opinion: 16 replies
- **Install electric vehicle charging points.** Very Imp: 20 replies Important: 39 replies Not Imp: 37 replies No opinion: 16 replies
- **Answers to questions 32 and 33 tended to overlap and so have been analysed as if a single question. The questions were:**
 - **What aspects from past development or changes in land use do you think are important in moving forward and should be taken into account when considering the future of Siddington?**
 - **What other planning issues would you like to be addressed for the future of Siddington?**
 - **No further development. The area is already subject to major development with 2350 houses being built at the Steadings which is within half a mile of Siddington. The village itself has accommodated Orchard View (88 houses) and Spire View (149 houses) in the last 10 years along with a solar farm and gravel extraction. A large retirement development has also been built at Siddington Park on the boundary of the parish. This has had implications for a considerable increase in traffic and additional pressure on the infrastructure as well as a reduction in green spaces and biodiversity.** 32 replies.
 - **Keep green spaces in the village and protect its biodiversity. This included mention of retaining fields, trees and wildlife corridors. The playing field and allotments were specifically referred to as vital for retention.** 31 replies.
 - **Traffic measures. Respondents were concerned at the increase in traffic from new developments which country roads and village street are unable to accommodate and the fact that village roads are now being regularly being used as “rat runs”. Speeding and parking problems also featured.** 24 replies.
 - **Separation from Cirencester. The existing “green gap” should be retained to preserve the rural aspect of the village and its unique identity.** 18 replies.

- **Flooding/drainage/sewage treatment. Ensure that there is no building on flood plains and that new developments don't increase the flood risk.** 16 replies.
- **Any building should be restricted to small developments only. Any future development should be less dense than Severells Field and built in the style of traditional Cotswold properties with appropriate landscaping to maintain a village character.** 13 replies.
- **Retain, extend and maintain footpaths and cycle tracks. A need for better maintenance of paths and lighting – particularly on footpaths going to Cirencester. Early completion of footpath link from Severells Field to Cirencester.** 13 replies.
- **Ensure sufficient infrastructure for new developments. This has been lacking in the past and developers have reneged on their responsibilities. Appropriate infrastructure should be in place prior to starting development. Schools, medical services, drainage, sewage treatment, public transport and footpath links were all mentioned.** 12 replies.
- **Repair of the Tithe Barn and sympathetic redevelopment of the Church Farm site.** 12 replies.
- **Solar Farm. Get rid of the existing solar farm and stop building additional solar farms.** 10 replies.
- **Sustainability of new homes. New builds should be built using sustainable materials and with solar panels, heat pumps, good insulation and electric charging points.** 5 replies.
- **Improve existing housing, in particular the buildings and grounds of the Jubilee flats.** 4 replies.
- **Provide more affordable housing, particularly for local people.** 3 replies.
- **Ensure enforcement of planning conditions with developers.** 3 replies.
- **Need for more community facilities. Meeting hub/community café, greater use of community room at Elizabeth Way, more youth facilities and sports facilities.** 3 replies.