



Tuesday, 28 October 2025

Tel: 01285 623226

e-mail: Democratic@cotswold.gov.uk

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee will be held in the Council Chamber - Council Offices, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX on **Wednesday, 5 November 2025 at 2.00 pm.**

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'J Portman'.

Jane Portman
Interim Chief Executive

To: Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee
(Councillors Gina Blomefield, Angus Jenkinson, Nick Bridges, David Cunningham, Joe Harris, Tony Slater, Lisa Spivey, Clare Turner, Michael Vann and Jon Wareing)

Recording of Proceedings – The law allows the public proceedings of Council, Cabinet, and Committee Meetings to be recorded, which includes filming as well as audio-recording. Photography is also permitted.

As a matter of courtesy, if you intend to record any part of the proceedings please let the Committee Administrator know prior to the date of the meeting.

AGENDA

1. **Apologies**

To receive any apologies for absence. The quorum for the Overview and Scrutiny Committee is 3 members.

2. **Substitute Members**

To note details of any substitution arrangements in place for the meeting.

3. **Declarations of Interest**

To receive any declarations of interest from Members relating to items to be considered at the meeting.

4. **Public Questions**

A maximum of 15 minutes is allocated for an “open forum” of public questions at committee meetings. No person may ask more than two questions (including supplementary questions) and no more than two such questions may be asked on behalf of one organisation. The maximum length of oral questions or supplementary questions by the public will be one minute. Questions must relate to the responsibilities of the Committee but questions in this section cannot relate to applications for determination at the meeting.

The response may take the form of:

- a) A direct oral response (maximum length: 2 minutes);
- b) Where the desired information is in a publication of the Council or other published work, a reference to that publication; or
- c) Where the reply cannot conveniently be given orally, a written answer circulated later to the questioner.

5. **Member Questions**

A maximum period of fifteen minutes is allowed for Member questions. Questions must be directed to the Chair and must relate to the remit of the committee.

Questions will be asked in the order notice of them was received, except that the Chair may group together similar questions.

The deadline for submitting questions is 5.00pm on the working day before the day of the meeting unless the Chair agrees that the question relates to an urgent matter, in which case the deadline is 9.30am on the day of the meeting.

A member may submit no more than two questions. At the meeting the member

may ask a supplementary question arising directly from the original question or the reply. The maximum length of a supplementary question is one minute.

The response to a question or supplementary question may take the form of:

- a) A direct oral response (maximum length: 2 minutes);
- b) Where the desired information is in a publication of the Council or other published work, a reference to that publication; or
- c) Where the reply cannot conveniently be given orally, a written answer circulated later to the questioner.

6. **Cotswold District Council Local Plan Review Preferred Options November 2025** (Pages 5 - 46)

Purpose

To seek approval to include an addition to the consultation document for the Local Plan Regulation 18 consultation on Preferred Options for Development, and to amend the consultation dates.

Recommendation

That the Committee scrutinises the report and agrees any recommendations it wishes to submit to Cabinet on 6 September 2025.

(END)

This page is intentionally left blank



Council name	COTSWOLD DISTRICT COUNCIL
Name and date of Committee	CABINET 6 NOVEMBER 2025
Subject	COTSWOLD DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN REGULATION 18 PREFERRED OPTIONS CONSULTATION
Wards affected	All
Accountable member	Councillor Juliet Layton Deputy Leader of Cotswold District Council and Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning Email: Juliet.Layton@cotswold.gov.uk
Accountable officer	Geraldine LeCointe – Assistant Director of Planning Services Email: Geraldine.LeCointe@cotswold.gov.uk
Report author	Matthew Britton, Principal Planning Policy Officer Email: Matthew.Britton@cotswold.gov.uk
Summary/Purpose	To seek approval to include an addition to the consultation document for the Local Plan Regulation 18 consultation on Preferred Options for Development, and to amend the consultation dates.
Annexes	Annex A: Cotswold District Council Local Plan Review Regulation 18 Consultation Preferred Options (November 2025)
Recommendation(s)	That Cabinet resolves to approve an addition to the consultation document for the Cotswold District Council Local Plan Review Regulation 18 Consultation Preferred Options (November 2025) and commence a seven-week public consultation on this, starting on 14 November 2025 and finishing on 2 January 2026.
Corporate priorities	• Responding to the Climate Emergency • Delivering Housing • Supporting Communities • Supporting the Economy



COTSWOLD
District Council

Key Decision	YES
Exempt	NO
Consultees/ Consultation	Director of Governance and Development (Monitoring Officer); Director – Communities and Place; Assistant Director – Planning Services.



1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1** On 16 October 2025, Cabinet approved a six-week public consultation on the Cotswold District Council Local Plan Review Preferred Options (November 2025). This Regulation 18 consultation, which included a call for sites, was agreed to run from 5 November 2025 to 18 December 2025.
- 1.2** Delegated authority was granted to the Director of Communities and Place, the Accountable Officer and the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning, to agree any minor amendments or additions to the consultation document prior to the Regulation 18 consultation.
- 1.3** The consultation document focusses on key matters and necessarily excludes much of the technical detail that underpins the consultation, which is provided in supporting evidence-based documents. Feedback on the evidence-based documents is being invited separately to the consultation document.
- 1.4** The consultation includes early estimates of how many homes could be built by 2043, which is the time period covered by the new Local Plan. However, if it becomes clear that meeting the housing target will require the allocation of strategic development sites, then government rules mean we must plan for those sites over a longer timeframe – at least 30 years.
- 1.5** This means the Local Plan would need to include the whole site, even if much of the building happens after 2043. Because of this, it's important that the consultation also looks at the total amount of development that could take place on these larger sites, including what might be built beyond 2043.
- 1.6** The indicative total number of homes on some strategic sites is significantly larger when considered over the long term. Any housing delivered after 2043 would count against a future Local Plan target, rather than the housing target of the current Local Plan update that is being progressed. However, looking at the total amount of development from the outset allows communities to consider all the issues, not just in this plan period but beyond, and to ensure these sites are planned comprehensively with all the required infrastructure.
- 1.7** This amendment does not change any of the development strategy options being considered within the Local Plan consultation. In addition, and to reiterate what the consultation document already stresses, the numbers are purely indicative at this



stage, and no allocations are being proposed yet. Some of the indicative locations may not be included in the Regulation 19 version of the plan if evidence proves between now and then that those sites are unavailable or undeliverable.

- 1.8** In one case, the strategic extension of Ampney Crucis, the number is unchanged. In all other strategic locations development beyond 2043 could be significant – in some cases being more than twice the number that would be built up to 2043. These sites are subject to consultation and require further due diligence and evidence gathering. This does not mean that they will be allocated, or that the whole site will be deliverable. Some sites may fall away because they cannot support sufficient infrastructure; other sites may materialise through the Call for Sites process, which is running alongside the Local Plan consultation.
- 1.9** The implication of publishing these figures at this time means that to ensure transparency and good governance, Cabinet should reconsider the consultation documentation. Doing so would require the consultation to commence on Friday, 14 November 2025. The consultation would run for 7 weeks¹ – one week longer than originally planned – and would end on Friday, 2 January 2026. Despite this, it is considered that overall the addition to the consultation document will ensure the Local Plan remains on programme, with minimal delay, and the process remains fair, transparent and robust.

2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 2.1.** Cabinet could choose not to accept the proposed addition to the consultation document. Given that the start date of the consultation was planned to be 5 November 2025, which is before the date of this Cabinet meeting, a new start date for the consultation would need to be agreed. The earliest revised start date would be 14th November 2025 to allow time for any potential call-in by members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to elapse before the consultation begins.
- 2.2.** Not accepting the proposed addition would reduce the opportunity for early discussion on longer-term development proposals that could form part of the Local Plan. This would likely be to the detriment of the Local Plan and may risk important issues being raised at a later stage in the Local Plan process, which may be more difficult to resolve or unresolvable at that point.

¹ Please note, the minimum consultation period is 6-weeks.



- 2.3.** Cabinet could also choose to amend the proposed addition to the consultation document.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- 3.1** Cabinet is recommended to approve an addition to the consultation document for the Cotswold District Council Local Plan Review Regulation 18 Consultation Preferred Options (November 2025). This would enable discussion through the consultation on the indicative total number of homes on strategic sites, not just in this plan period but beyond, and would raise awareness of the need to plan these sites comprehensively with all the required infrastructure.

This amendment would require the consultation to run from 14 November 2025 to 2 January 2026 to allow time for any potential call-in by members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to elapse before the consultation begins.

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1** There are no additional financial implications to this Local Plan consultation resulting from this addition being made to the consultation document (e.g. the Council will continue with its scheduled consultation events and publicity materials, which can be adapted easily).

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1** There are no legal implications to making this addition to the consultation document. Any potential issues are avoided by seeking proper consideration and approval of the amendment through the Cabinet decision-making process.

6. RISK ASSESSMENT

- 6.1** Long-term development planning of larger sites brings with it larger housing numbers. This will undoubtedly cause some concern amongst Members and the community, and it is important that the consultation document addresses this issue. Furthermore, omitting this detail would risk not getting useful consultation feedback on this matter, which may be to the detriment of the final Local Plan.

7. EQUALITIES IMPACT

- 7.1** The emerging Local Plan is supported by an Integrated Impact Assessment that considers these legal duties and requirements. An updated Integrated Impact



Assessment will be available to review and comment on during the public consultation.

8. CLIMATE AND ECOLOGICAL EMERGENCIES IMPLICATIONS

- 8.1** Delivering a Local Plan that is green to the core is a corporate priority in the Council's efforts to tackle the climate change and ecological emergencies and the draft plan policies take account of this.

9. BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 9.1** None.

(END)

Local Plan Review

Preferred Options Consultation

November 2025



Homes, landscape, livelihoods
- a legacy we build together.



COTSWOLD
District Council



Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
What is a Local Plan?	3
What has been consulted on already and what has changed since then?	3
How can I comment on this consultation?	5
2. Spatial Strategy Options.....	7
Context.....	7
The different scenarios	8
Scenario 1: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters and support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements	8
Scenario 3: Main Service Centre focus	9
Scenario 4: Focus growth around transport nodes.....	10
Scenario 5: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters. Support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements. Create new settlement(s) and/or strategic extensions to existing settlement(s)	11
Scenario 6: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters. Support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements. Create new settlement(s) and/or strategic extensions to existing settlement(s). Support major development within the Cotswolds National Landscape	12
Scenario 7: Maximise growth across the district with sites assessed to be unsuitable for development (for example, via additional new settlement(s) / strategic extensions).....	12
Increasing density.....	13
The Council's preferred option.....	15
Questions.....	16
3. Indicative figures	17
Context.....	17
How did the Council select locations?	17
Indicative Figures	18
Development beyond 2043	21
Indicative Maps.....	21
Question	22
4. Beyond Conventional Housing	27
Employment and commercial development needs	27
Gypsy and Traveller Needs	28
Infrastructure needs.....	28
Question	29
5. Revised Vision and Objectives	30
Question	32
6. Call for Sites.....	33

1. Introduction

What is a Local Plan?

- 1.1 A Local Plan is a statutory document created by a local planning authority that sets out policies and proposals for development in a specific area, such as a district council area, guiding decisions on planning applications, including for new housing, employment, shops, and infrastructure. The Local Plan provides a long-term vision for the future of the area, outlining where growth should occur and where development should be restricted, and serves as the starting point for determining planning applications.
- 1.2 The process of updating a Local Plan involves several stages. The initial stages involve looking at issues, options and policy proposals with stakeholders, including the public (formally known as a Regulation 18 stage). This is followed by a draft Local Plan (formally known as a Regulation 19 stage). The next step is then an Examination in Public where an independent Inspector appointed by the Planning Inspectorate assesses the soundness and legal compliance of the Plan and considers representations made in response to the Regulation 19 consultation. At each stage there is an opportunity for stakeholders to participate in the process and have their views heard. If the Plan is found sound and approved by the Planning Inspector, it is then adopted by the Local Authority and replaces the previous Local Plan to become the Development Plan for the area.
- 1.3 The Council encourages everyone to participate in the consultation to help inform the next stage of the Local Plan process, whether you are a resident, business, landowner, town or parish council or anyone else. In taking part in the discussion, it is important to bear in mind the last step of the process – the Examination in Public. The Inspector will be focusing on whether the Local Plan is sound, and one key consideration for the Inspector will be whether there is sufficient evidence to support the proposals. If you do suggest new alternative policy suggestions, please remember that if we take them on board, we need to be confident we can robustly and justifiably support them when the Local Plan is examined.

What has been consulted on already and what has changed since then?

- 1.4 Cotswold District Council commenced a Development Strategy and Site Allocations Plan in January 2024. This included a new Local Plan period of 2026 to 2041. As the first stage of preparing this Local Plan, the Council consulted on a Vision, Objectives and Development Strategy Options Topic Paper between February and March 2024.

At the same time, the Council also consulted on a series of amended and new policies in order to make the Local Plan 'Green to the Core'.

- 1.5 At the time of these consultations, the government calculated that the district needed to deliver 493 additional homes a year. Accordingly, it was estimated that additional sites capable of delivering around 3,300 homes would be needed to deliver the full housing target over the new Local Plan period. Various development strategy options were identified to deliver the housing target, and feedback was sought on those options.
- 1.6 In December 2024, the Government revised the National Planning Policy Framework. The changes included a new, mandatory 'standard methodology' for calculating the number of homes needed in each local planning authority area. As a result, and largely due to high house prices in Cotswold District, which demonstrate the demand for housing in the area, the district received a more than 100% increase to its annual housing target. The number of homes needed in the district is now calculated to be 1,036 homes a year, which means significantly more housing sites need to be identified.
- 1.7 National planning policy has a separate requirement for councils to demonstrate that they have enough land available to meet their housing needs for the next five years. This is known as the "five-year housing land supply." It ensures that local plans are delivering their housing target. If a council cannot show that it has this supply in place, national policy gives greater weight to approving planning applications for new housing, even if they do not fully align with local policies. The significant increase to the district's housing target meant the Council lost its five year housing land supply. This change has reduced the Council's ability to refuse planning applications for new homes, even in areas that were previously seen as unsuitable for development.
- 1.8 Planning applications are consequently now also being decided one by one, without a joined-up approach. This means there is no overall plan guiding where new homes should go, and infrastructure like roads, schools, and health services may not be properly planned or provided alongside new development.
- 1.9 National planning policy also requires Local Plans to look ahead for a minimum of 15 years from the point of adoption and policies should be set within a vision that looks ahead at least 30 years, which may require the allocation of sites that continue to be delivered beyond the end of the Local Plan period. With an expected adoption of the Local Plan Update in 2027, the plan will need to run to 2043. This further increases the

number of homes that need to be planned for (and supporting infrastructure) within this plan period and beyond.

- 1.10 In this current Regulation 18 stage consultation, the Council is putting forward its proposed development strategy. This strategy will dictate how and where any land for additional development that is needed to meet identified requirements to 2043 should be allocated. This includes broad areas of the district where growth in, for example, housing and employment provision may take place. The identification of specific development sites will follow in the Regulation 19 consultation next year.
- 1.11 The responses from the previous Local Plan consultations are still valid and have been taken into consideration. However, the significant increase to the number of homes needed in the district requires a rethink of the proposed development strategy for delivering this scale of growth.

How can I comment on this consultation?

- 1.12 The consultation documents can be accessed via the Council's online consultation system your.cotswold.gov.uk. Hard copies are also available to view at all public libraries in Cotswold District, as well as the Council offices at Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX and the Moreton Area Centre, High Street, Moreton-in-Marsh, GL56 0AZ. Hard copies can also be obtained on request by contacting the Council's customer services team on 01285 623000 or customer.services@cotswold.gov.uk. Please note charges may apply.
- 1.13 Please respond using the [online consultation system](#). The Council has also produced [guidance as well as a video explaining how to make your comments](#). You can respond to as many or as few questions as you wish. If you do not have access to a computer or smartphone and have to make your submission by email (Local.Plan@cotswold.gov.uk) or letter (Planning Policy, Cotswold District Council, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX). Please ensure to clearly state for each of your comments which section/paragraph of the consultation they relate to; this ensures that your comments are processed swiftly and correctly.

- 1.14 Please note that consultation responses will be refined down to a list of [material planning considerations](#)¹, the Council cannot take into account other matters. Campaigns making multiple representations on the same point carry no extra planning weight than a single representation making the same point(s).
- 1.15 This consultation lasts a period of six weeks starting from Friday 14 November 2025. It will close on Friday 2 January 2026 at midnight. Please ensure your representations are submitted by this deadline. Unless there are mitigating circumstances, we will not accept late representations because this will affect the overall Local Plan timetable, as well as being unfair to those who have adhered to the deadline.
- 1.16 For further information about the Council's Local Plan consultation process and the next steps in the Local Plan process, please see [our Statement of Community Involvement and our Local Development Scheme](#). The Local Plans team can be reached via Local.Plan@cotswold.gov.uk or Planning Policy, Cotswold District Council, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX.

¹ Material planning considerations are factors that must be taken into account when making planning decisions.

Key considerations include:

- Privacy: Overlooking or loss of privacy for neighbouring properties.
- Daylight and Sunlight: Potential loss of daylight or overshadowing.
- Scale and Design: The scale, dominance, and appearance of proposed developments.
- Access and Safety: Considerations for disabled access, highway safety, and traffic issues.
- Planning Policies: Compliance with relevant planning policies and legislation.

2. Spatial Strategy Options

Context

- 2.1 The development strategy of the Local Plan identifies the requirements for different types of development (housing, employment, retail, etc) over the proposed new Local Plan period (2025 to 2043). It is also a 'spatial document' which sets out how and where the development requirements may be delivered. This section of the consultation sets out the different options that the Council has considered and the Council's preferred option. A more detailed analysis of the different options can be found [Development Strategy Options Technical Report](#).
- 2.2 Based on current estimations, the following housing targets will apply for the updated local plan:
- a. 18,650 additional new homes are needed for the new local plan period (calculated using the government's 'standard methodology') ².
 - b. There are already 6,150 homes in the pipeline that can contribute towards delivering this 18,650 housing target. These are:
 - planning permissions that have not yet been built;
 - remaining site allocations from the current Local Plan; and
 - future windfalls (an estimation of sites that will not specifically be identified in the Local Plan).
 - c. Additional sites capable of delivering 12,500 homes are needed to fully deliver the housing target (i.e. $18,650 - 6,150 = 12,500$ homes).
- 2.3 Local planning authorities must use their housing target, as calculated by the standard methodology, as the starting point for determining the housing requirement included in their Local Plan. However, there may be matters that justify a lower housing requirement, such as protected areas or assets of particular importance providing a strong reason for restricting the overall scale, type or distribution of development in the plan area.
- 2.4 If there are insufficient deliverable / developable sites and the full development needs cannot be delivered inside the district, the Council would need to ask neighbouring authorities whether they can accommodate some of the unmet need. The Council

² This figure is based on the government's 'standard methodology' calculation of the number of homes needed in the district (1,036 homes a year), provided by the [MHCLG's recalculation of housing needs in December 2024](#). Note, this figure is periodically updated to reflect new housing affordability data and household estimates. It will be reassessed in the Gloucestershire Housing and Economic Needs Assessment, which is expected in spring 2026.

would then set a housing requirement in its Local Plan that is lower than the number of homes that is needed, but that matches the deliverable / developable housing land supply. A similar situation exists for the need for other types of development (such as employment and retail) that cannot be fully delivered in the district.

The different scenarios

- 2.5 Seven development strategy options have been identified to accommodate the additional growth. A detailed analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of each of these scenarios can be found in the [Development Options Strategy Technical Report](#). The potential impacts of each scenario have also been assessed in the [Integrated Impact Assessment](#) and the [Habitat Regulations Assessment](#). You can put your comments against any of the scenarios and/or answer the two questions at the end of this section.

Scenario 1: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters and support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements

- 2.5.1 This scenario would be a continuation of the current development strategy which focusses the majority of additional growth at Principal Settlements. In addition, this scenario would also identify the Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters as locations to proactively allocate sites for development.
- 2.5.2 A [Settlement Role and Function Study](#) was undertaken to determine a settlement hierarchy of Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements (and Village Clusters) and Rural Settlements based on various factors, such as their existing size, level of services, facilities, employment provision, etc.

16 Principal Settlements			
Andoversford	Cirencester	Mickleton	South Cerney
Blockley	Fairford	Moreton-in-Marsh	Stow-on-the-Wold
Bourton-on-the-Water	Kemble	Northleach	Tetbury
Chipping Campden	Lechlade	Siddington	Upper Rissington
13 Non-Principal Settlements or Village Clusters			
Avening	Broadwell	North Cerney	The Village Cluster of Coln St Aldwyns, Hatherop and Quenington
Bibury	Down Ampney	Poulton	
Bledington	Longborough	Preston	
Bourton-on-the-Hill	Meysey Hampton	Willersey	

- 2.5.3 The final settlement hierarchy in the Local Plan may change, as it will factor in the amount of additional planned growth and any additional services, facilities, employment provision, etc. resulting from that growth.

- 2.5.4 Additional housing would be supported in Rural Settlements as Rural Exception Sites. These developments would normally not be permitted but would aim to provide 100% affordable housing and meet a local housing need. A proportion of market homes may be allowed on the site at the local planning authority's discretion, for example where essential to enable the delivery of affordable units without grant funding. These homes would be delivered as windfalls (i.e. sites not specifically identified in the Local Plan). Further housing would be supported as windfalls beyond the Principal and Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters where other national, local and neighbourhood planning policies allow it.
- 2.5.5 The total housing supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 1 is estimated to be approximately **7,840 homes. This is 42% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 2: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements, Village Clusters and Rural Settlements

- 2.5.6 This scenario incorporates Scenario 1 but adds a more 'dispersed' approach to the future pattern of development so that instead of growth being steered mainly towards the district's Principal and Non-Principal Settlements, it would also be located within small villages and hamlets across the district. The Rural Settlements have very limited or no services, facilities or employment provision.
- 2.5.7 Many of the homes that would come forward in Rural Settlements from this scenario would either have been Rural Exception Sites or be included within the windfall allowance for Scenario 1. This means that the amount of houses that are gained by this scenario is limited.
- 2.5.8 The total housing supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 2 is estimated to be approximately **8,230 homes. This is 44% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 3: Main Service Centre focus

- 2.5.9 Scenario 3 would focus the vast majority of future development (beyond existing commitments) at main service centres. These main service centres offer a broad range of services and facilities, good public transport accessibility and provide a mix of job opportunities. Some of the settlements with potential

to be main services centres lie within the Cotswolds National Landscape where the scale and extent of development is expected to be limited.

- 2.5.10 Under this scenario, proportionately less development (beyond existing commitments) would take place in Principal Settlements that are not Main Service Centres. These Principal Settlements would retain a development boundary, inside which the principle of development would continue to be supported. However, they would not receive any allocations for additional housing growth.
- 2.5.11 In simpler terms, compared to the previous scenarios, this scenario would put the majority of development near the largest settlements in the district. The total housing supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 3 is estimated to be approximately **9,420 homes. This is 51% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 4: Focus growth around transport nodes

- 2.5.12 This scenario puts future growth (beyond existing commitments) along key public transport corridors and around public transport hubs (e.g. rail stations). It would see all future additional growth focused in locations where there are good current and potential future opportunities to use public transport.
- 2.5.13 In terms of bus services, some parts of the District have a reasonably good level of service. However, coverage across much of the rest of the District, in particular more rural areas, is sporadic or even non-existent in some locations, particularly during off-peak periods.
- 2.5.14 In terms of rail services, Cotswold District has two railway stations. Kemble railway station serves the Golden Valley Line (London - Swindon - Cheltenham). Moreton-in-Marsh railway station serves the North Cotswold Line (London - Oxford - Worcester). Kingham railway station is located in West Oxfordshire District but serves the east of Cotswold District. This area is constrained by its location inside the Cotswolds National Landscape.
- 2.5.15 The total housing supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 4 is estimated to be approximately **9,190 homes. This is 49% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 5: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters. Support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements. Create new settlement(s) and/or strategic extensions to existing settlement(s)

- 2.5.16 National policy recognises that the supply of large numbers of new homes can often be best achieved through planning for larger scale development such as new settlements or significant extensions to existing villages and towns, provided they are well located and designed, and supported by the necessary infrastructure and facilities (including a genuine choice of transport modes). Local Plan policies on strategic sites should be set within a vision that looks ahead at least 30 years to take into account the likely timescales for delivery. The practical reality of this is that the whole of strategic sites – not just the part that is delivered in the Local Plan period – need to be allocated in the Local Plan so that they can be planned comprehensively and so that all infrastructure requirements can be determined from the outset.
- 2.5.17 This option would include the development set out in Scenario 1 as well as new settlements and/or strategic extensions to existing settlements. As a minimum, settlements with strategic growth would (grow to) include all the services, facilities, employment provision and accessibility standards found within a Non-Principal Settlement.
- 2.5.18 Delivering a new settlement or strategic site has a long lead in time from conception of the idea to the first spade being put in the ground. These locations would therefore be expected to deliver housing and other types of development towards the mid to end of the new Local Plan period and would likely continue delivering into the next Local Plan period.
- 2.5.19 As there is a presumption against major development taking place within the Cotswolds National Landscape other than in exceptional circumstances and development being in the public interest, any new settlement or strategic site would need to be located outside of this area.
- 2.5.20 The total housing land supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 5 is estimated to be approximately **14,660 homes. This is 79% government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 6: Allocate sites in Principal Settlements, Non-Principal Settlements and Village Clusters. Support Rural Exception Sites in Rural Settlements. Create new settlement(s) and/or strategic extensions to existing settlement(s). Support major development within the Cotswolds National Landscape

- 2.5.21 Scenario 6 retains all strategic sites and settlement growth identified in Scenario 5. But unlike Scenario 5, it includes sites within the Cotswolds National Landscape, irrespective of whether they constitute major development or have high landscape sensitivity.
- 2.5.22 This scenario is intended to test the upper limits of potential growth across the district, including areas that are subject to greater planning constraints due to their landscape designation. National landscapes have the highest status of national policy protection. Major development within them should be refused other than in exceptional circumstances, and where it can be demonstrated that the development is in the public interest. **Given this option is contrary to national planning policy, scenario 6 is not considered to be a viable option.**
- 2.5.23 The total housing land supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 6 is estimated to be approximately **19,320 homes. This is 104% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

Scenario 7: Maximise growth across the district with sites assessed to be unsuitable for development (for example, via additional new settlement(s) / strategic extensions)

- 2.5.24 Scenario 7 tests a further theoretical housing capacity across Cotswold District by combining all elements of previous growth scenarios (excluding Scenario 6). In other words, it includes all of the development proposed in the scenarios 1 to 5, with the addition of new settlements and/or strategic extensions (outside of the National Landscape) in locations that have been assessed to be unsuitable for development.
- 2.5.25 As with scenario 6, **the Council does not consider this to be a sustainable level of development and therefore scenario 7 is not a viable option.**
- 2.5.26 The total housing land supply of the Local Plan period from Scenario 6 is estimated to be approximately **16,200 homes. This is 87% of the government target of 18,650 homes.**

- 2.6 As scenarios 6 and 7 are excluded for not delivering sustainable growth, only five scenarios remain. None of these remaining scenarios provide a housing land supply that meets the target of 18,650 new homes set by the government. Therefore, the Council will need to ask if neighbouring authorities could contribute to the delivery of the residual housing need whichever of the first five scenarios is chosen. The Council could only set a housing target in its Local Plan that is lower than the one set by the government if neighbouring authorities are unable to contribute to delivering the shortfall in full.

Increasing density

- 2.7 National policy recognises that where land for housing is limited, it is important to make the best use of available space. This means building homes at higher densities – especially in town centres and areas with good public transport links – so more people can be housed. National policy therefore requires local plans to include clear policies to support this, and developers are expected to use land efficiently.
- 2.8 National policy also emphasises the importance of creating sustainable places of high quality design, conserving and enhancing our national landscape and building houses to meet the needs of our communities. A balance therefore must be struck between maximising density, whilst also ensuring the character and scenic beauty of the Cotswolds and the wider district is retained, as well as providing the right types and sizes of homes that are needed.
- 2.9 In Cotswold District, the average density of potential development sites for the Local Plan is currently 21 dwellings per hectare ³. One way to help meet our housing target may be to increase the density of homes provided on each site. This would supply more homes but would mean building up, building more compactly, and/or reducing the level of private and shared open space.
- 2.10 In a rural district like Cotswold, where the character of towns and villages is shaped by historic buildings, open spaces, and protected landscapes, achieving higher density development is challenging. For example, taller buildings or more intensive layouts can conflict with conservation areas, heritage settings, or the natural beauty of the Cotswolds National Landscape. Additionally, delivering the right mix of homes, such as family houses, accessible homes and affordable housing with the right space

³ Calculated to be 30 dwellings per hectare for sites under 0.4 hectares; 25 dwellings per hectare for sites of 0.4 to 2 hectares (to provide for other things, such as public green space); and 19 dwellings per hectare for sites of 2 hectares or more (to provide for further things, such as infrastructure). The density of strategic sites is assumed to be 19 dwellings per hectare unless evidence confirms an alternative density is achievable.

standards, can be harder at higher densities, where space is more limited and design flexibility is reduced. Whilst increasing density in some locations may be possible, there are likely to be many areas where this is not possible – e.g. rural edge of settlement locations with small to medium sized sites – due to the impact on the character of the surrounding area.

2.11 In addition, there are some sites where the density cannot be increased or where increasing the density would not deliver more homes in the Local Plan. For example:

- a. The Council cannot require density to be increased on sites that already have planning permission (note, planning permissions contribute 3,260 homes to each scenario).
- b. Similarly, there are likely to be only limited opportunities to increase density on windfall sites. These are normally smaller sites, such as barn conversions, residential subdivisions, or infill developments. In most cases, the density of these sites cannot reasonably be increased, and it is estimated that only 25% windfalls would be eligible for a potential increased density ⁴.
- c. Increasing housing density on strategic sites may provide further housing land supply in the longer-term. However, due to the long length of time it takes to plan and build new homes on these sites, and because they are already counted as delivering the maximum number of homes when compared with similar sites, this approach is unlikely to significantly increase the number of homes that are delivered from these sites in the Local Plan period ⁵.

⁴ This approximate figure considers types of windfall delivered between 2011 and 2024 with particular regard to larger sites, which is evidenced in [Appendix 4 of the Housing Land Supply Report \(CDC, June 2025\)](#).

⁵ Note, the need for other types of development and infrastructure within strategic sites can also constrain the delivery of higher density development. For example, the Steadings in Cirencester was permitted with a density of 10 dwellings per hectare owing to the need to provide employment land, a neighbourhood centre, public open space, allotments, playing fields, pedestrian and cycle links and other infrastructure, and there would be similar requirements of other strategic sites.

Table 1 – Percentage density increase required to deliver 18,650 homes.

Indicative total housing land supply	Sites where density can potentially be increased (no. of homes)*	Average increase in density of eligible housing sites to supply 18,650 homes	Average density of potential housing sites to supply 18,650 homes (dwellings per hectare)
Scenario 1 = 7,840	2,685	502%	106
Scenario 2 = 8,230	3,148	429%	91
Scenario 3 = 9,420	1,290	1,046%	221
Scenario 4 = 9,190	1,071	1,260%	267
Scenario 5 = 14,660	2,685	502%	106
Scenario 6 = 19,330	8,702	N/A	N/A
Scenario 7 = 16,200	3,148	429%	91

*Note: Does not include sites that already have planning permission; 75% of windfalls estimated to be delivered in the Local Plan period; or strategic sites.

2.12 Table 1 illustrates how the number of homes from sites where density can potentially be increased is lower than the total housing number of homes from each scenario⁶. Densities of between 91 and 267 dwellings per hectare would be required on eligible sites to meet the government's full 18,650 home target.

2.13 While maximising housing density where opportunities exist may help reduce the shortfall on this target, very high densities are not seen as realistic or suitable for Cotswold District. They would likely conflict with the area's 8 and the practical limits of infrastructure and services.

The Council's preferred option

2.14 The Council's preferred development strategy is scenario 5 as it builds on the current adopted strategy which has successfully delivered the development needs of the adopted Local Plan period until the introduction of the new housing target in December 2024. It ensures that the creation of new homes, employment and infrastructure is targeted in the most sustainable locations for development with the best services, facilities, employment opportunities and transport connectivity.

2.15 On top of the existing strategy, scenario 5 also includes the creation of new settlement(s) and/or new strategic site(s). This ensures that the Council can evidence it has looked at all options to reach the housing target of 18,650 new dwellings. **However, it is important to note that at this stage the figures are indicative.**

⁶ Note, this excludes sites with planning permission; 75% of homes that would be delivered as windfalls; and all potential strategic sites.

Chapter three of this consultation document includes indicative figures and maps that show what this scenario might look like in practice.

- 2.16 The Council will maximise higher housing density development where possible, which may help to bridge the gap between the indicative capacity of scenario 5 and the delivery of the full housing target. However, this approach will be difficult to achieve in much of the district, where the landscape, conservation areas, and historic character of settlements place constraints on building height and layout. The housing density of 106 dwellings per hectare, which is needed from scenario 5 to deliver the government's full housing target, reflects a highly urbanised form of development, typically characterised by apartment blocks and flatted schemes with limited private outdoor space. This level of intensity is comparable to parts of inner London, such as Woodberry Down in Hackney and Stratford Halo in Newham, where high-rise living is common. Similar densities are also seen in the Temple Quay development in inner-city Bristol, which has been master-planned to accommodate densities exceeding 100 dwellings per hectare. While such densities may be appropriate in city centre contexts, they are generally out of character with the district. Such a density of development can also make it harder to deliver the required mix of housing types, which are important for meeting the needs of all residents.
- 2.17 Scenario 5 is preferable over the other scenarios as it provides a housing land supply with the highest percentage of the government's housing target (79%). It is unlikely that the other scenarios would be accepted as the Council's strategy by an independent Inspector at the Examination in Public when scenario 5 can deliver the most housing in a sustainable way (subject to further assessment).

Questions

Question 1 – Which of the above scenarios has your preference? Why do you prefer this option?

Question 2 – Should the Council consider locating development in unsustainable locations to meet the government housing target? Please explain.

Question 3 – To what extent would you support increasing housing density in developments (such as smaller houses and gardens, more flats or higher buildings) to help meet the full housing target? Please also explain why.

3. Indicative figures

Context

- 3.1 To deliver the housing target, the Council will need to evidence that it has a sufficient supply of available sites that can be delivered both within five years and for the rest of the plan period. To find suitable sites, amongst other things, the Council has undertaken several 'Calls for Sites' allowing landowners, developers and members of the public to put forward potential sites for development.
- 3.2 The Council has also undertaken an [Assessment of Broad Strategic Development Locations](#) which assesses the suitability of broad locations for strategic development (developments of five hundred or more homes). The study found no 'obvious' sites that could be readily developed. However, it has identified areas that require further detailed assessment (in terms of mitigating constraints etc.) to determine their suitability and the level of development that could occur (if any).
- 3.3 Alongside this consultation, the Council is running another Call for Sites with a specific focus on the areas that have been identified as having potential for further investigation in the Assessment of Broad Strategic Development Locations and within and surrounding settlements identified by the proposed Local Plan Development Strategy. More information on this Call for Sites can be found in Chapter 6.

How did the Council select locations?

- 3.4 Many sites are submitted to the Council as potential land for development, whereas further assessment is required to confirm the deliverability of other sites. There can be various reasons why a site is undeliverable, such as it not being available for development. Sites may also be unsuitable for development, for example, because of flood zones, impacts on landscape, protected habitats, historic buildings etc., as well as the sustainability and accessibility of sites.
- 3.5 All submitted sites go through a site selection process which applies a series of filters to progressively identify which sites should be rejected and which should be recommended for allocation. A comprehensive explanation of each of these filters can be found in the [Site Allocation Selection Methodology](#) and [Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Methodology](#).

Indicative Figures

- 3.6 Based on the sites currently being assessed, the Council has provided a high level **indication** of the location and level of new development that could be expected in each settlement or location identified in the preferred Local Plan Development Strategy. **These high level figures are based on current planning permissions / allocations, windfalls and further sites that have been put forward and identified as potentially deliverable.**
- 3.7 It is important to note that **these numbers are indicative** of the number of new homes proposed for each settlement or location over the whole local plan period; they are in different stages of assessment and **further work is needed to determine the sustainability and viability of the sites. This may result in sites being discounted which would then result in a reduction of homes proposed for a settlement or location.**
- 3.8 Furthermore, additional land is expected to be submitted through the Call for Sites campaign, which may increase the number of homes or the type of development to be proposed in a settlement or location.
- 3.9 It is also important to emphasise that **the selection of the development strategy options and sites at this Regulation 18 consultation stage is an iterative process.** The final development strategy and recommended sites for allocation in the Local Plan will form part of the pre-submission Local Plan, which will undergo a further public consultation in summer 2026 (formally known as a Regulation 19 consultation).
- 3.10 Given the scale of the housing target, it is likely that **all deliverable and developable sites in sustainable locations for growth will likely need to be allocated for development in the Local Plan.** Settlements may therefore receive disproportionately more development than others – e.g. settlements outside the Cotswolds National Landscape will normally be expected to receive more development than those inside the Cotswolds National Landscape. Where new strategic growth is planned (i.e. sites of 500 or more homes), the location will be expected (to grow) to include the level of services and facilities of a Non-Principal Settlement as a minimum.
- 3.11 The total estimate of 14,660 homes from scenario 5 would provide a housing land supply that is 79% of the 18,650 housing target calculated using the government's 'standard methodology'. However, because the district will likely fall short of delivering its housing target, national rules will consequently require the Council to plan for more homes than are actually needed, just to make sure enough get built. For example, the

adopted Local Plan had a minimum requirement for 8,400 homes but included a housing land supply of around 9,600 homes in case any sites were delayed or were not built. This proved to be needed, as the delivery of The Steadings in Cirencester – a large site including 2,350 homes and other development – was delayed. Scenario 5 relies on the delivery of eight similar large sites of 500 or more homes. Large sites can be difficult to deliver and are often delayed, so scenario 5 has a higher risk of not delivering housing on time.

- 3.12 There are also challenges in providing some infrastructure, for example, the water supply and wastewater treatment infrastructure needed to support new housing. Much of the area is already under pressure for water supply, and some sewage treatment facilities need upgrading. Thames Water, the company responsible for most of the district, is facing financial difficulties, which may delay these improvements. As a result, some housing developments may be held back until the necessary infrastructure is in place. Although scenario 5 plans around 14,660 homes, not all are likely to be built on time. Realistically, around 20% fewer homes may be delivered during the Local Plan period, meaning only about 11,730 homes are expected.
- 3.13 The Council will need to ask neighbouring authorities whether they could accommodate some of the unmet need. The Council would then set a housing requirement in its Local Plan that shows the amount of housing that could be delivered in the Cotswold District and what, if any, that could be delivered in neighbouring authority areas. This may be lower than the number of homes that is needed, but that matches the deliverable / developable housing land supply.
- 3.14 Without the allocation of these sites, the Council will be unable to set its housing requirement in the Local Plan and evidence it has a sufficient supply of deliverable / developable sites. However, this does not mean that development will not happen in these locations. On the contrary, the Council will have less power to require developers to deliver all the necessary infrastructure needed to support these developments. The Council will also be unable to stop development occurring in less suitable locations if developers can evidence that their development is in line with national planning policies as the Council would not have an up to date Local Plan with allocated sites.
- 3.15 Moreton-in-Marsh was identified in the previous Regulation 18 consultation as a potential location for strategic development. The Council has commissioned specialist consultants to assess the feasibility of strategic development in the town and is currently awaiting this evidence.

Development beyond 2043

3.16 Whilst this consultation primarily focusses on options to deliver development needs up to 2043, some of the strategic sites would likely grow well beyond this. Importantly, if the indicative strategic sites are allocated in the Local Plan, the total number of homes expected to be delivered by these sites would be allocated, even though much of the development would be expected after 2043⁷. This is because the government requires us to plan at least 30 years ahead for these sites to make sure they are well-designed and have the right infrastructure from the start. The indicative total sizes of the potential strategic sites are provided in Table 3.

Table 3 – Indicative number of homes on strategic sites

Strategic Site	Estimated homes up to 2043	Estimated homes up to 2043 and beyond
Strategic extension north of Ampney Crucis	660	660
Strategic extension south of The Steadings, Cirencester	400	1,290
New settlement near Driffield	840	2,100
Strategic extension north-east of Fairford	780	1,400
Strategic extension south-west of Kemble	590	1,070
Strategic extension north, south and east of Moreton-in-Marsh	1,710	3,970
Strategic extension south of Preston	960	2,510
Strategic extension north, south and west of Siddington	880	1,100

Indicative Maps

3.17 To assist with visualising the location and level of growth, the Council has also produced the maps below. The pie charts in maps 1 to 3 mark the likely location and level of new development. Each pie chart shows the current size of the settlement in green, the existing planning permissions and site allocations in yellow and the indicative amount of additional new homes in pink. The latter includes the 'Total Potential' figure from Table 3.

3.18 Map 4 gives a high level indication of the location of the potential new strategic sites. The arrows show which direction the settlements could potentially grow, based on areas that may be available for development. They are general indicators, and the start and end points of the arrows do not denote specific locations nor the extent of the



⁷ Please note that the Local Plan cannot restrict homes from being delivered sooner if the necessary infrastructure is provided. Similarly, sites may be delayed – e.g. if there are delays to infrastructure being delivered (e.g. the Thames Water issue) or if homes sell slower than anticipated.

potential strategic site. Further investigation regarding coalescence and green buffers will need to be undertaken.

Question


Question 4 – Do you think the proposed level of development up to in 2043 – the end of the Local Plan period – and beyond 2043 is sustainable? If not, what provisions would need to be added to the settlement to make them a sustainable location for the proposed level of development? These could be new services, facilities or the provision of infrastructure. Please clearly state which settlement(s) your comment relates to.


Indicative Map 1: North Cotswolds



Settlement sites are not to scale and are for demonstration purposes only.







Scale: 1:125,000
© Crown copyright and database rights 2025
Ordnance Survey, LA No. 100018800





Indicative Map 4: Potential new strategic sites

Please note that the arrows do not mark specific locations nor the extent of the potential strategic site. They are general indicators of the potential direction of expansion.



4. Beyond Conventional Housing

- 4.1 The government has put housing development at the forefront as shown by the introduction of the new, mandatory 'standard methodology' for calculating the number of homes needed in each local planning authority area. Accordingly, there is a focus on delivering on this target in this consultation. However, the updated development strategy will also look at the needs/requirements and land supply for other types of housing and development such as employment needs. Moreover, the Council also needs to take into account infrastructure needs and requirements that arise from development in the updated Local Plan. Evidence in regard to employment and infrastructure needs and sites has been commissioned and will form part of the next consultation on the Regulation 19 draft Local Plan.
- 4.2 The updated Local Plan itself will also be more than an update to the development strategy. It also aims to be 'Green to the Core' and protect the historic and natural environment, etc. These items have already been covered by the previous Regulation 18 consultation in February 2024 and comments received during that consultation remain valid. The updated version of these policies will be consulted on during the Regulation 19 consultation in 2026.

Employment and commercial development needs

- 4.3 Cotswold District Council is currently participating with all other local planning authorities in Gloucestershire in a county-wide Housing and Employment Needs Assessment. This study, which will be undertaken by an external consultant, will set out the employment needs as well as the type of housing required in the district and will inform policies accordingly. The study is expected to be completed by the end of 2025, and the results will feed into the policies which will be consulted upon in 2026.
- 4.4 The Council is also procuring a Town Centres Study which will identify retail and other commercial development needs for the main town centres.
- 4.5 Despite the results of the Housing and Employment Needs Assessment and Town Centres Study not being available until later this year, the Council still welcomes any comments regarding employment and commercial development needs in the district, especially in relation to the areas with potential for strategic development as set out in Chapter 3.

Gypsy and Traveller Needs

- 4.6 The Council is also participating with all other local planning authorities in Gloucestershire in a county-wide Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment. This study, which will be undertaken by an external specialist consultant, will set out the needs for different types of gypsy and traveller accommodation over the Local Plan period. The study is expected to be completed by this end of 2025, and the results will feed into the policies which will be consulted upon in 2026.
- 4.7 Despite the results of the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment not being available until later this year, the Council still welcomes any comments regarding gypsy and traveller needs in the district and how these can be delivered if there is a shortfall in the supply of sites.

Infrastructure needs

- 4.8 New housing needs to be accompanied by the necessary infrastructure. Cotswold District Council has instructed an external specialist consultant to undertake an update to its Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will set out infrastructure needs and requirements of all development proposed in the Local Plan update.
- 4.9 The Infrastructure Delivery Plan will look at a large range of infrastructure items and will determine the infrastructure requirements and needs for each allocation in the updated Local Plan:
- Community and Culture
 - Education
 - Healthcare
 - Transport (Highways)
 - Public Transport
 - Walking and Cycling
 - Open Space
 - Sport and Recreation
 - Flood Management
 - Water Supply and Waste Water (sewage)
 - Emergency Services
 - Utilities
 - Digital Infrastructure (telecoms)
 - Renewable Energy

4.10 The infrastructure requirements/needs are dependent on the recommended site allocations, which have not yet been determined at the time of this consultation. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan is therefore still in its early stages. Although the Call for Sites might result in new potential development sites being identified, the Council still welcomes comments on infrastructure needs and requirements either in general or for any of the high level locations identified in the previous chapter.

Question

Question 5 – Are there any other matters beyond conventional housing that have not been listed here that you think should be considered in the Development Strategy for the updated Local Plan?

5. Revised Vision and Objectives

- 5.1 The Cotswold District Council Local Plan 2011 to 2031 includes a vision statement setting out the aspirations of the plan for the future. This is supported by a set of objectives. These vision and objectives are being revised in the updated Local Plan to reflect the Council's Corporate Priorities as well as any changes in national legislation. The draft revised Vision and Objectives are as follows:

5.2 Vision

By 2043, Cotswold District will be a resilient, inclusive, and forward-looking rural district that has successfully responded to the climate crisis, conserved and enhanced the Cotswolds National Landscape, delivered sustainable communities, and supported a vibrant, low-carbon economy. Development will have been guided by a spatial strategy that prioritises environmental stewardship, social equity, and economic vitality, while respecting the district's unique landscape, heritage, and character.

The district will have delivered a diverse range of housing, including a significant increase in socially rented and affordable homes, enabling residents of all ages and backgrounds to live securely and sustainably. New settlements and strategic sites will have matured into thriving communities, offering high-quality homes, employment opportunities, and essential services, all supported by integrated infrastructure and sustainable transport networks. Existing Principal and Non-Principal settlements will have grown proportionately, enhancing their role as local service centres while maintaining their distinct identities.

The district will have transitioned to zero-carbon development, with new buildings and infrastructure designed to minimise energy use and maximise renewable energy generation. Biodiversity Net Gain will be embedded across all development, contributing to a richer natural environment and improved ecological resilience.

Transport emissions will have been significantly reduced through investment in active travel infrastructure, public transport, and strategic growth focused around transport nodes. Communities will be healthier, more connected, and better supported by local services, green spaces, and digital infrastructure.

Cotswold District will continue to be "Green to the Core," with planning decisions consistently reflecting the long-term interests of people, place, and planet.

5.3 Objectives

a. Respond to the Climate Crisis through Adaptation and Mitigation

- Require all new development to be zero-carbon and climate-resilient.
- Support the provision of renewable energy schemes and promoting community owned renewables initiatives.
- Locate development away from flood-prone areas and promote water and energy efficiency.

b. Conserve and Enhance the Natural, Built, and Historic Environment

- Preserve the high quality, local distinctiveness, and diversity of the natural and historic environment.
- Support the sympathetic retrofit of historic buildings.
- Conserve and enhance the distinct character of the Cotswolds National Landscape and open countryside.
- Promote high-quality, sustainable design and green infrastructure that supports health and wellbeing.

c. Deliver Inclusive, Healthy, and Affordable Housing

- Provide a diverse mix of housing types and tenures, with a focus on socially rented homes.
- Ensure development supports positive health outcomes and embeds local health and wellbeing strategies.

d. Enable a Vibrant, Low-Carbon Local Economy

- Support the transition to a low-carbon economy and promote local employment.
- Encourage the vitality and viability of town and village centres as places that support a range of activities and uses such as shopping, leisure, cultural and community activities; as well as providing access to affordable homes.
- Promote sustainable tourism.
- Maintain Cirencester's role as a key employment and service centre.

e. Promote Sustainable Transport and Connectivity

- Reduce transport emissions by encouraging walking, cycling, and public transport.
- Focus development on accessible locations with strong transport links.

- Create active transport hubs to aid health outcomes and reduce emissions and pollution.

f. Ensure Infrastructure and Services Support Sustainable Communities

- Deliver infrastructure, services, and facilities that meet the needs of residents and businesses.
- Ensure the required infrastructure, as set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, is delivered in a timely manner.

Question

Question 6 – Do you agree with the vision and objectives? Or is there anything else that should be covered in the vision and objectives? Please explain.


6. Call for Sites

- 6.1 As part of the preparation of the Local Plan, the Council is inviting submissions of potential development sites for consideration. This is an opportunity for landowners, developers, agents, and other interested parties to suggest sites that may be suitable for future development.
- 6.2 We are calling for sites for all types of development needs identified in the Local Plan, including:
- market and Affordable Housing;
 - employment / commercial development;
 - rural exception sites for Affordable Housing;
 - gypsy and traveller pitches;
 - renewable energy;
 - new country park(s); and
 - other types of development that may be needed.
- 6.3 Submissions should include:
1. A completed New Site Submission Form
 2. A clear site location plan
 3. Supporting information that demonstrates the site's suitability for development and the delivery timescales of the proposed development.
- 6.4 The [Assessment of Broad Strategic Development Locations](#) has narrowed down the potential locations for strategic development. These have been marked as 'Broad Zones' on the map below. Although the Council is keen to confirm all land that is available for development, the Council is especially interested to any available land for strategic growth in these Broad Zones. For more detailed information, please see the maps in the [Appendix A of the Assessment of Broad Strategic Development Locations](#). Similarly, we are particularly keen to hear about potential sites within and surrounding the Principal and Non-Principal Settlements identified in the Preferred Development Strategy.
- 6.5 In addition, the Council would find it helpful if landowners and agents who have previously submitted sites could:
- Reconfirm the availability of their sites.
 - Provide any further relevant updates regarding the delivery or progress of those sites.

- 6.6 Site submissions can be made on any land that is available for development. At this stage, the Council is seeking sites that can deliver five or more dwellings or economic development on sites of 0.25 hectares or more (or 500 square metres or more of floor space). The Council is unlikely to allocate land in areas with high flood risk (i.e. Flood Zones 3 identified on the [Flood Risk Map](#)), although such land may be suitable for green or blue infrastructure associated with the development.
- 6.7 To submit a new site, please complete a [New Site Form](#).
- 6.8 Please ensure that all submissions and updates are made by **Friday, 2nd January 2026**. Late submissions may not be considered.

Call for Sites: Areas of Interest

- Broad Zone
- Principal Settlement
- Non-principal Settlement
- District Boundary



This page is intentionally left blank