

**SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING**  
**26<sup>th</sup> September 2017**

**ADDITIONAL PAGES (4) Update 25<sup>th</sup> September 2017**

---

**Representations**

Additional representations received between the 22<sup>nd</sup> September and 3pm on 25<sup>th</sup> September are summarised below. The representations can be viewed in full on the Council's Online Planning Register.

**Objections**

Thirteen representations have been received raising matters already listed within the Council report, along with the following issues:

- The Health and Safety Executive set risk-based consultation zones around high pressure gas pipelines. For most cases standard decision tables are applied by the local planning authority using PADHI (Planning Advice for Developments near Hazardous Installations);
- But for borderline or difficult cases, a Quantified Risk Assessments are applied to obtain risk levels and to assess possible mitigation measures to reduce risks;
- There has long been a foregone conclusion that the OPA would need a disproportionate allocation of housing, irrespective of actual requirements so the "community infrastructure" would be provided;
- If realistic allowances were made for "windfalls" within the local plan there would be no requirement for the "community infrastructure" i.e "We need to build too many homes so we can extract developer contributions for extra facilities that are only needed because we plan to build too many houses";
- Local plan requirement for housing can be met without the need for the development;
- Council is already delivering dwellings ahead of numbers;
- How can the quality of the development be assured once the site is sold to housebuilders?

A letter from Cirencester College has been received and is attached as Appendix 1.

An objection from Save Our Cirencester has been attached as Appendix 2.

An objection from the Park Community Group has been attached as Appendix 3.