

Appendix 2A

Gloucestershire Joint Waste Committee
Strategic Risk Register (JWC Version 10/02/15)
Last Reviewed 28/01/15

Read with Risk Appetite Matrix (see Appendix 2B)

Impact (threats)
v. Lo = Negligible
Lo = Minor
Med = Moderate
Hi = Major
v. Hi = Catastrophic

Impact (opportunities)
Negligible
Minor benefit
Moderate benefit
Major benefit
Groundbreaking benefit

Probability
v. Lo = Not foreseeable
Lo = Unlikely
Med = Possible
Hi = Likely
v. Hi = Almost certain

| Ref | Area | Risk | Effect | Raw Score | | Mitigation planned | | Mitigated Score | | Future Actions | | Target Score | |
|-----|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|--------------|-------|
| | | | | Impact | Prob. | Impact | Prob. | Impact | Prob. | Impact | Prob. | Impact | Prob. |
| R1 | Financial | Pressure to reduce budgets places existing services under financial pressure. The affordability of existing services becomes more questionable. | Services may have to change or service providers have to save money by adjusting the service offered. | Med | Hi | Work with service suppliers to either reduce price or change service offer to be more affordable. | Lo | Hi | Under guidance from the JWC, meet with suppliers to discuss how to deliver savings. | Lo | Hi | | |
| R2 | | Improvements to services are harder to make in a climate of financial hardship. | Service providers can only offer service improvements by being more efficient or by making savings elsewhere. | Med | Hi | Bring forward efficiencies which can be re-invested. Evaluate future service options. | Lo | Hi | | Lo | Hi | | |
| R3 | | Waste growth per household leads to increased volumes of waste requiring collection and/or treatment/disposal. | Budget pressure created by increasing waste volumes. | Med | Hi | Continued public engagement and interventions to encourage diversion. | Lo | Hi | Under guidance from JWC consider future service options that will discourage waste growth and encourage avoidance and diversion. | Lo | Hi | | |
| R4 | | Income from sales of recycle (Risk) | Material values have dropped in the last 12 months and show no early signs of recovery. | Med | Hi | This is due to market forces but maintaining high quality of materials will help cushion the risk. | Lo | Hi | Ensure that the best deals are made with processors and other opportunities to market materials are taken in due course. | Lo | Hi | | |
| O1 | | Income from sales of recycle (Opportunity) | Sell materials directly, eliminates third party margins and therefore maximises income. | Med | Hi | CBC have agreed that JWC will takeover marketing of materials from October 2015. | Lo | Hi | Ensure that the best deals are made with processors and other opportunities to market materials are taken in due course. | Lo | Hi | | |
| O2 | | Innovation and integration | Authorities have the opportunity to look for novel service delivery models which offer greater efficiencies. | Hi | Hi | Under guidance from the JWC, work with Contractors / Ulico Board to deliver service changes and associated savings, consider developments to existing service models. | Hi | Hi | Seek economies of scale through service alignment and optimisation as far as possible on a countywide basis. | Hi | Hi | | |
| R5 | Political | DCLG continue to press for weekly refuse collections post May 2015 | Additional cost to County as whole likely to exceed £3.4m pa and recycling rate will drop. | v. Hi | Med | Continue to base policy on evidence of performance and popularity. | Hi | Med | Keep members informed especially following changes to administration or portfolio holders. | Hi | Med | | |
| R6 | | Political priorities can and will change over time | Waste becomes an issue which members feel has been dealt with and so the focus is on other priorities. | Med | Med | Ensure members are aware of the nature of the services and how they impact on all taxpayers. Keep up to date with the latest thinking to ensure opportunities to innovate are not missed. | Med | Med | Keep members informed especially following changes to administration or portfolio holders. | Med | Med | | |
| R7 | Organisational | Part time Head of Service | Part time Head of Service is not ideal especially as the partnership is still growing and there are major strategic issues to work through. | Med | Med | Ensure workload is planned to deliver the highest priorities and staff are empowered to work effectively and efficiently. | Med | Med | Structure Review will consider various options including there is an opportunity to employ a full time Head of Service and other mitigating options. | Med | Med | | |
| R8 | | Balance of resources between partners | Partners expect the JWC to deliver everything they want without committing the resources required for delivery. | Hi | Hi | Use the business planning process to understand and resolve how the JWC resources are to be utilised across partners. Some members may need to commit more resources. | Med | Hi | SMG and partner authorities commit to resolve resource disparity. JWC will seek ways to allow this without adding overall cost. | Med | Hi | | |
| R9 | Operational | Ability of contractors to deliver | Service delivery is the key to providing good customer services. As pressure is placed on contractors to deliver more with less service delivery may suffer. | Med | Hi | Ensure that the JWC carries out sufficient monitoring to keep the contractor focused on meeting contractual standards. | Med | Med | Regular meetings with contractors to keep service levels under review. | Med | Med | | |
| R10 | | IT systems – obsolescence and compatibility | Inefficiencies due to incompatible or obsolete IT systems | Lo | Med | Work with ICT units to improve compatibility and functionality | Lo | Med | Keep systems and replacements under review and flag budgetary implications. | Lo | Med | | |
| R11 | | Driver shortages | Impact on service delivery if not all rounds can be deployed, particularly if over a sustained period. Wage pressure may also become a factor | Hi | Med | Work with contractors to ensure they have policies in place for driver training and retention and drivers have met CTC requirements | Hi | Med | Consider opportunities to make working conditions attractive to aid retention and loss to other HGV operators | Hi | Med | | |

